

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/526,800 | 03/07/2005 | Anatoly Leontievich Vikharev | IOAP 4.1-1 | 7409 |
| 21036 7590 99/23/2008 IAN C. McLEOD, P.C. 2190 COMMONS PARKWAY | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | DHINGRA, RAKESH KUMAR | |
| OKEMOS, MI 48864 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1792 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/23/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/526,800 VIKHAREV ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit RAKESH K. DHINGRA 1792 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 01 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 June 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 7-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/0E)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ________

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

On further consideration following restriction is considered necessary:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species within the elected invention of Group II (apparatus) claims 7-15.

Species 1 (Figs 1, 2) – A reactor system for diamond deposition comprising a microwave generator, a transmission line ending with a quasi-optical electrodynamic system, a system for pump in and pump out of gaseous mixture, and where the electrodynamic system has 4 mirrors situated on different sides relative to a region of plasma formation to direct microwave radiation as four wave beams, wherein the beam crossing is pair-wise.

Species 2 (Fig 3) – A reactor system for diamond deposition comprising a microwave generator, a transmission line ending with a quasi-optical electrodynamic system, a system for pump in and pump out of gaseous mixture, and where the electrodynamic system is made of two mirrors situated on different sides relative to a region of plasma formation and positioned so as to direct the two beams of microwave at small angles to the surface of the substrate.

Species 3 (Fig 4) – A reactor system for diamond deposition comprising a microwave generator, a transmission line ending with a quasi-optical electrodynamic system, a system for pump in and pump out of gaseous mixture, and where the electrodynamic system is made of two mirrors situated on different sides relative to a region of plasma formation and positioned so as to direct the wave beams opposite to each other and wherein one of the two mirrors is installed so as to be movable forward and backward parallel to itself to a distance of +/- lambda/4.

Application/Control Number: 10/526,800

Art Unit: 1792

Species 4 (Fig 5) – A reactor system for diamond deposition comprising a microwave generator, a transmission line ending with a quasi-optical electrodynamic system, a system for pump in and pump out of gaseous mixture, and wherein a bottom part of reaction chamber has a dielectric window, and a substrate is installed in top part of the chamber, and the electrodynamic system is made as one mirror situated out of and lower than the reaction chamber.

Species 5 (Fig 6) – A reactor system for diamond deposition comprising a microwave generator, a transmission line ending with a quasi-optical electrodynamic system, a system for pump in and pump out of gaseous mixture, and wherein the electrodynamic system has one mirror that directs a microwave beam with normal incidence to a surface of the substrate or at a low angle to the normal, and a cooled radioparent wall is installed in the reaction chamber, which wall is installed parallel to surface of substrate.

Species 6 (para. 0028, 0050) – A reactor system for diamond deposition comprising a microwave generator, a transmission line ending with a quasi-optical electrodynamic system has a mirror and a quasi optical resonator with plane parallel mirrors set at a distance of multiple of lambda/2, wherein the resonator mirror is a surface of the substrate on the substrate holder, and the other mirror comprises a periodic grating of thin metal tubes or rods.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 7 appears to be generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/526,800

Art Unit: 1792

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/526,800

Art Unit: 1792

examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

A telephone call was made to Steve Parks on 9/11/08 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAKESH K. DHINGRA whose telephone number is (571)272-5959. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 -6:00 (Monday - Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571)-272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rakesh K Dhingra/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/K. M./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792